

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/733,319	Applicant(s) NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner SYED Y. HASAN	Art Unit 2621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SYED Y. HASAN.

(3) PAUL SKWIERAWSKI.

(2) THAI TRAN.

(4) TATSUYA KOYAMA.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: see below.


Identification of prior art discussed: No.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 2 - 3 of 12/058,905 derived from claims 1 and 7 of US 6,693,966 and claims 2 - 3 of 12/058,911 derived from claims 1 and 3 of US application no. 10/733,319. Claims 4 and 4 of 12/058,905 and 12/058,911 have been discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

THAI TRAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600